UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,456	07/22/2003	Donald A. Kerth	SILA:122	5560
60939 7590 05/18/2007 LAW OFFICES OF MAXIMILLIAN R. PETERSON P.O. BOX 93005			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, LEE	
AUSTIN, TX 78709-3005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/624,456	KERTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LEE NGUYEN	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	A DATE OF THIS COMMU R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to become	JNICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/12/07.					
<u> </u>						
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected on b) objected on b) objected on b) objected in about the drawing (s) be held in about the drawing of	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ring(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/624,456

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fletcher (US 3,100,282).

Regarding claim 1, Fletcher teaches a converter in a radio-frequency (RF) apparatus, the converter comprising a feedback circuitry (30, 16, 10, 15, see figures 1-2) having a shielded input 32 and a shielded output 34, wherein the shielded input and the shielded output inherently tend to reduce interference in the converter.

Regarding claim 2, Fletcher teaches a first filter 11 coupled to the shielded input 32 of the feedback circuitry (30, figs. 1-2); and a second filter 34 coupled to the shielded output of the feedback circuitry (30, figs. 1-2).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,456 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 3-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fletcher et al.

Regarding claim 3, Fletcher teaches a method of reducing interference in a circuit in a radio-frequency (RF) apparatus, wherein the circuit 11, 12, 14 (fig. 2) has an input 32 and an output 34, the method comprising: shielding 32 an input of the circuit 11, 12, 14; and shielding 34 an output of the non-linear circuit 11, 12, 14 (fig. 2). Fletcher does not explicitly teach that the operational amplifier in the circuit is classified as class A (linear)

Art Unit: 2618

or class B or C (non-linear). It is taken official notice that operational amplifiers can be either linear class A amplifier or non-linear class B or C amplifiers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include non-linear circuit in the apparatus of Fletcher in order to reduce power consumption.

Regarding claim 4, Fletcher also teaches comprising filtering 11 an input signal supplied to the input of the non-linear circuit 11, 12, 14 (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 5, Fletcher teaches a radio-frequency (RF) apparatus, comprising:

a signal-processing circuit (30, 16, 10, 15, fig. 2);

a first shield 32 that shields an input of the signal-processing circuit (30, 16, 10, 15); and

a second shield 34 that shields an output of the signal-processing circuit (30, 16, 10, 15, fig. 2). Fletcher does not explicitly teach that the operational amplifier in the circuit is classified as class A (linear) or class B or C (non-linear). It is taken official notice that operational amplifiers can be either linear class A amplifier or non-linear class B or C amplifiers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include non-linear circuit in the apparatus of Fletcher in order to reduce power consumption.

Regarding claims 6-11, Fletcher fail to teach that the non-linear signal-processing circuit

Art Unit: 2618

comprises switched-capacitor circuitry, or noise-shaping converter circuitry, or analog-to-digital converter circuitry, or digital-to-analog converter circuitry, or multiplier circuitry, or modulator circuitry. However, Fletcher suggests that his invention also applies to other circuit units (col. 4, lines 71-74). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the shielding of Fletch to other circuits, thereby reducing influence of the electrostatic and

Regarding claim 12, Fletcher also teaches

electromagnetic fields occur at the circuit.

a first filter 11 that filters an input signal 32 of the non-linear signal-processing circuit (30, 16, 10, 15, fig. 2); and

a second filter 14 that filters an output signal of the non-linear signal-processing circuit (30, 16, 10, 12, fig. 2).

Regarding claim 13, Fletcher also teaches that the first shield comprises a conduit 32, and that the second shield comprises a conduit 34.

Regarding claim 14, Fletcher further teaches that the first shield comprises a ground plane 35 (fig. 2),

and the second shield comprises a ground plane 35 (fig. 2), see col. 4, lines 50-53.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claims 15-17 and 20, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 6-11.

Regarding claim 18, Fletcher also teaches shielding the input of the non-linear circuit comprises using a conduit 32, and wherein shielding the output of the non-linear circuit comprises using a conduit 34 (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 19, Fletcher further teaches that shielding the input of the non-linear circuit comprises using a ground plane 35 (fig. 2), and wherein shielding the output of the non-linear circuit comprises using a ground plane 35 (fig. 2), see col. col. 4, lines 50-53.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/5/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, Applicant contends that:

- 1) Fletcher's transducer 10 cannot teach the claimed "converter";
- 2) Fletcher fails to the claimed feedback circuitry;
- 3) Fletcher does not teach the claimed shield input and shield output;
- 4) Fletcher does not teach a non-linear circuit.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

Regarding point 1, the claimed converter is broadly recited. Therefore, the transducer of Fletcher reads on the claimed converter because the transducer, as the name implied, converts the input from one form into output of another.

Regarding point 2, Fletcher does teach the claimed feedback circuitry (col. 2, lines 20-25).

Regarding point 3, Fletcher further teaches the shield input 32 (col. 4, line 45) and the shield output 34 (col. 4, line 47).

Regarding point 4, the non-linear circuit is well known as indicated in the above rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7854. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDERSON D. MATTHEW can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,456

Art Unit: 2618

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-10000

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2618